arXiv:1104.5245v3 [hep-ph] 27 Jun 2011

MPP-2011-49

January 20, 2013

On the anomalous superfluid hydrodynamics

Shu Lin[1]
Max-Planck-Institut für Physik (Werner-Heisenberg-Institut)
Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany

Abstract

It has been shown by Son and Surówka that the presence of anomaly in hydrodynamics with global $U(1)$ symmetry can induce vortical and magnetic currents. The induced current is uniquely determined by anomaly from the existence of an entropy current with non-negative divergence. In this work, we extended the analysis to hydrodynamics with $U(1)$ symmetry spontaneously broken, i.e. $U(1)$ superfluid hydrodynamics. We found that all possible first order gradient corrections are determined up to five arbitrary functions, with the entropy current containing one arbitrary function. Furthermore, the stress tensor does not receive correction from terms proportional to the magnetic field.

---

[1] E-mail: slin@mppmu.mpg.de

1 Introduction

The hydrodynamics is believed to be a universal description of quantum field theory in long time and large distance limit. The assumption of local thermal equilibrium allows a description of the system in terms of fluid velocity $u^\mu(x)$ and local thermodynamical quantities including temperature $T(x)$ and chemical potential $\mu(x)$ for conserved quantities, which has the slowest relaxation. If the underlying quantum system has a spontaneously broken symmetry, the resulting gapless Goldstone mode should also be taken into account as an additional degree of freedom. Normal hydrodynamics should be replaced by superfluid hydrodynamics, the dynamics of which includes a superfluid component with velocity $\xi^\mu(x)$. There have been significant efforts in formulating superfluid hydrodynamical description of QCD with spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking[1, 2, 3]. Superfluidity is also believed to be relevant for the phenomenology of heavy ion collisions[4].

When the system under consideration is not evolving slowly or smoothly enough, the ideal hydrodynamical equations should be improved by a systematic inclusion of of gradient terms, compatible with the symmetry of the system. The coefficients appearing in front of the gradient terms are referred to as transport coefficients. For normal hydrodynamics, the transport coefficients corresponding to first order gradient terms are shear viscosity, bulk viscosity and charge diffusion constant. They should be calculated from the microscopic theory. For example, in deconfined phase of QCD or QCD-like theories, the transport coefficients have been extensively studied in both weak coupling[5] and strong coupling regime[6, 7, 8, 9]. For superfluid hydrodynamics, the number of transport coefficients increases significantly due to the additional superfluid velocity. It has been recently enumerated in [10], see also [11] that there are 14 transport coefficients for $U(1)$ superfluid. The transport coefficients have also been calculated by method of gauge/gravity duality.

While previous studies have been focusing on the parity even term in the gradient expansion, parity odd terms can be equally important. Early studies of fluid/gravity duality found an unexpected term proportional to the vorticity of the fluid in the constitutive equation of the R-current[12, 13]. The puzzling term seems to lead to negative entropy production at the first sight. This issue is clarified by Son and Surówka in [14], where they showed that the vortical term, as well as a term proportional to the magnetic field is actually required by the existence of entropy current with non-negative divergence. Working to the first order in gradient expansion, they found that in the presence of global $U(1)$ anomaly, both the charge current and the entropy current get modified by a vortical and a magnetic term. Remarkably, the form of the correction is almost entirely determined by the anomaly.

This result was generalized to nonabelian symmetry group in [15], where similar effect has been found.

In this work, we would like to consider the effect of triangle anomaly on the $U(1)$ superfluid hydrodynamics. We will focus on the parity odd sector and use the existence of entropy current to determine the transport coefficients. We will first write down the ideal superfluid hydrodynamical equations in external $U(1)$ field in Section 2. We will construct all possible first gradient terms in parity odd sector in Section 3, following the technique in [10]. The constraint equations on the transport coefficients will be derived based on the criterion of non-negative entropy production in Section 4. The constraint equations are solved in Section 5 and we will conclude in Section 6.

2 Ideal superfluid hydrodynamics in the presence of external field

The nonrelativistic hydrodynamical equation for $U(1)$ superfluid in the absence of external field is known as Landau's two fluid model[16]. It can be derived with different approaches, including quasi-average[17], Poisson bracket[18] and effective Lagrangian[19, 20]. The generalization to relativistic superfluid hydrodynamics is written down in [21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. We quote the result of ideal relativistic superfluid hydrodynamics of [25] in the following:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \\ \partial_\mu j^\mu = 0 \\ \mu + u^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi = 0. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

The first and second equation in (1) is energy-momentum conservation and current conservation respectively. The third equation is a "Josephson" equation. It is a statement that the density of the fluid and phase of the condensate is not independent, with φ being the phase of the condensate. The constitutive equations are:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu + p\eta^{\mu\nu} + f^2 \partial^\mu\varphi\partial^\nu\varphi \tag{2}$$

$$j^\mu = nu^\mu + f^2\partial^\mu\varphi, \tag{3}$$

together with the equation of state: $d\epsilon = Tds + \mu dn + f^2 dX$ and $dp = sdT + nd\mu - f^2 dX$. Here $X = \frac{1}{2}(\partial\varphi)^2$ is the additional thermodynamical variable due to the superfluidity. The entropy is conserved in the ideal superfluid hydrodynamics:

$$\partial_\mu(su^\mu) = 0. \tag{4}$$

In the presence of an external $U(1)$ field(provided that it does not destroy the superfluidity), the hydrodynamical equations need to be modified. As in normal fluid, external electromagnetic field will exert Coulomb and Lorentz force on the fluid. The energy-momentum is not conserved as in the isolate system of superfluid. A term of $F^{\nu\lambda}j_\lambda$ is needed on the RHS of (1) to account for it. Furthermore, assuming the external $U(1)$ field couples to the matter field through minimal coupling in the microscopic theory, the superfluid velocity receives contribution from the external field in the following way: $\nabla\varphi \to \nabla\varphi - \vec{A}$. Taking into account relativistic invariance, it is not difficult to convince oneself that the hydrodynamical equations of superfluid are given by:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = F^{\nu\lambda}j_\lambda \\ \partial_\mu j^\mu = 0 \\ \mu = -\xi\cdot u \\ \partial_\mu\xi_\nu - \partial_\nu\xi_\mu = -F_{\mu\nu} \end{cases}, \tag{5}$$

with $T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu + p\eta^{\mu\nu} + f^2\xi^\mu\xi^\nu$ and $j^\mu = nu^\mu + f^2\xi^\mu$. The equation of state is the same as the case without external field: $d\epsilon = Tds + \mu dn + f^2dX$ and $dp = sdT + nd\mu - f^2dX$, but with $X = \frac{1}{2}\xi^2$. The phase of the condensate φ is replaced by ξ_μ, the un-normalized superfluid velocity. We have confirmed the intuitive equation (5) with Poisson bracket method[31]. Starting from ideal hydrodynamical equations, we can show that there is a conserved entropy current: The first two equations of (5) give,

$$\frac{1}{T}u_\nu\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\mu}{T}\partial_\mu j^\mu = \frac{1}{T}u_\nu F^{\nu\lambda}j_\lambda. \tag{6}$$

Upon using $\partial_\mu\xi_\nu - \partial_\nu\xi_\mu = -F_{\mu\nu}$ and the equation of state, we readily obtain $\partial_\mu(su^\mu) = 0$.

3 First order gradient expansion in the parity odd sector

In this section, we want to study the effect of axial anomaly on superfluid hydrodynamics, which amounts to a modification to the current conservation equation: $\partial_\mu j^\mu = CE\cdot B$, with

$E^\mu = F^{\mu\nu}u_\nu$ and $B^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu F_{\alpha\beta}$. [#1]. In this work, we will restrict ourselves to a single $U(1)$ charge. The anomaly can be realized as an AAA anomaly in a fictitious $U(1)$ theory: $\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + ie\gamma^5 A_\mu)\psi$, with ψ and A_μ being the matter field and external axial $U(1)$ field respectively. It will be interesting to investigate the case of two charges for phenomelogical applications [27, 28, 29, 30]. We leave it for future work. Note that the superfluidity arises from spontaneous symmetry breaking. We should ensure that the $U(1)$ symmetry (charge conservation) is not spoiled by the anomaly term $CE \cdot B$ [#2]. We can for example turn off the electric field, keeping only the magnetic field, so that the anomaly term vanishes. However its effect on charge current and entropy current remains, as is clear from [14].

The first order gradient expansion will introduce correction to the stress tensor, current and chemical potential[11, 10]:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu + p\eta^{\mu\nu} + f^2\xi^\mu\xi^\nu + \pi^{\mu\nu} \tag{7}$$

$$j^\mu = nu^\mu + f^2\xi^\mu + \nu^\mu \tag{8}$$

$$\mu = -\xi \cdot u + \mu_A. \tag{9}$$

We can specify a frame to further constrain the corrections. The frames often used are the fluid frame, where $\pi_{\mu\nu}u^\mu = 0$ and $\mu_A = 0$ and the transverse frame, where $\pi_{\mu\nu}u^\mu = 0$ and $\nu_\mu u^\mu = 0$[10]. We will choose to work in the transverse frame in the following.

The next step is to to write down all possible parity odd, first order gradient terms that can appear in $\pi_{\mu\nu}$, ν_μ and μ_A. These include gradient of the fluid velocity(∂u_μ and $\partial\xi_\mu$) and gradient of the thermodynamical variables(∂p, $\partial\mu$ and ∂X). However it is complicated by the fact that they are not completely independent, but related by ideal hydrodynamical equations. The procedure we will use in enumerating independent gradient terms closely follow the method adopted in [10]: We first list all possible (pseudo)scalars, (pseudo)vectors and (pseudo)tensors, which are independent upon using the ideal hydrodynamical equations. Then we construct $\pi^{\mu\nu}$, ν_μ and μ_A out of them.

Assuming the external $U(1)$ field $A_\mu \sim O(p^0)$, the field strength $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is first order in gradient. There are in total 2 pseoduscalars, 7 pseudovectors, 2 pseudotensors, 7 scalars, 7 vectors and 2 tensors that are first order in gradient. Note that due to the external field the number of independent structures are slightly larger than those reported in [10], where the numbers are 1, 5, 6, 5 for pseudoscalar, pseudovector, scalar and vector

---

[#1] There is also an interesting effect due to gravitational anomaly, see [26]. We do not discuss it here

[#2] We thank Ingo Kirsch for pointing this out to us respectively. The independent structures are listed as follows.

pseudotensor:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon^{\mu\rho\alpha\beta}u_\rho\xi_\alpha\sigma^\nu_\beta + \epsilon^{\nu\rho\alpha\beta}u_\rho\xi_\alpha\sigma^\mu_\beta \\ \bar{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon^{\mu\rho\alpha\beta}u_\rho\xi_\alpha\lambda^\nu_\beta + \epsilon^{\nu\rho\alpha\beta}u_\rho\xi_\alpha\lambda^\mu_\beta\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

pseudovector:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\partial_\alpha u_\beta,\ B^\mu \\ \Omega^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\xi^\nu\partial^\alpha u^\beta - \mu\omega^\mu,\ K^\mu = \frac{1}{2}P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\xi^\nu F^{\alpha\beta} - \mu B^\mu \\ U^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta p,\ V^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta\mu \\ L^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\xi^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta u_\lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

pseudoscalar:

$$\xi\cdot\omega,\ \xi\cdot B, \tag{12}$$

tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mu\nu} &= P^\alpha_\mu P^\beta_\nu\left(\frac{\partial_\alpha u_\beta + \partial_\beta u_\alpha}{2} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial\cdot u}{3}\right) \\ \lambda_{\mu\nu} &= P^\alpha_\mu P^\beta_\nu\left(\frac{\partial_\alpha \xi_\beta + \partial_\beta \xi_\alpha}{2} - \eta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial\cdot \xi}{3}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

vector:

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\nu,\ \partial_\mu p,\ \partial_\mu\mu,\ \partial_\mu X,\ \xi_\nu\partial_\mu u^\nu,\ E_\mu,\ F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu \tag{14}$$

scalar:

$$\begin{aligned}&\zeta = \partial_\mu(nu^\mu),\ \theta = \partial_\mu u^\mu,\ \sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu l^\nu, \\ &l^\mu\partial_\mu p,\ l^\mu\partial_\mu X,\ u^\mu\partial_\mu X,\ E\cdot\xi,\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $P^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu$ is the projection operator, which is transverse to the normal fluid velocity $u_\mu P^{\mu\nu} = 0$. $l^\mu = P^{\mu\nu}\xi_\nu$ is the component of ξ_μ transverse to the normal fluid velocity.

Now we are ready to decompose $\pi^{\mu\nu}$, ν^μ and μ_A in terms of the independent structures. Note the constraint $u_\mu\pi^{\mu\nu} = 0$, $u_\mu\nu^\mu = 0$ from choosing the transverse frame, we have:

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = T_\sigma \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} + T_\lambda \bar{\lambda}^{\mu\nu} + P^{\mu\nu}(P_\omega \xi\cdot\omega + P_B \xi\cdot B) + 2(\Pi_\omega l^{(\mu}\omega^{\nu)} + \Pi_B l^{(\mu}B^{\nu)} + \Pi_\Omega l^{(\mu}\Omega^{\nu)} \\ + \Pi_K l^{(\mu}K^{\nu)} + \Pi_U l^{(\mu}U^{\nu)} + \Pi_V l^{(\mu}V^{\nu)} + \Pi_L l^{(\mu}L^{\nu)}) + l^\mu l^\nu (N_\omega \xi\cdot\omega + N_B \xi\cdot B) \tag{16}$$

$$\nu^\mu = (V_\omega \omega^\mu + V_B B^\mu + V_\Omega \Omega^\mu + V_K K^\mu + V_U U^\mu + V_V V^\mu + V_L L^\mu) + (\Lambda_\omega \xi\cdot\omega + \Lambda_B \xi\cdot B) l^\mu \tag{17}$$

$$\mu_A = A_\omega \xi\cdot\omega + A_B \xi\cdot B, \tag{18}$$

where $A^{(\mu}B^{\nu)} = \frac{1}{2}(A^\mu B^\nu + A^\nu B^\mu)$.

4 Constraint from entropy conservation

In the presence of triangle anomaly, the charge current is no longer conserved: $\partial_\mu j^\mu = CE\cdot B$. This enters as a second order correction in gradient to the hydrodynamical equations, thus we need to use the constitutive equations up to first order in gradient expansion, which has been worked out in the last section. Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = F^{\nu\lambda} j_\lambda \\ \partial_\mu j^\mu = CE\cdot B \\ \mu + \mu_A = -\xi\cdot u \\ \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi_\mu = -F_{\mu\nu} \end{cases}, \tag{19}$$

Note an explicit change to the form of "Josephson" equation due to the gradient correction. This is because we will choose μ as a separate thermodynamical variable, which is always zeroth order in gradient. While the gradient corrections to the energy-momentum and current conservation are implicit through the constitutive equations: $T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + p)u^\mu u^\nu + p\eta^{\mu\nu} + f^2 \xi^\mu \xi^\nu + \pi^{\mu\nu}$ and $j^\mu = nu^\mu + f^2 \xi^\mu + \nu^\mu$. In the presence of first order gradient correction and anomaly, the entropy current defined in (6) is not conserved anymore. It satisfies the following equation:

$$\partial_\mu (su^\mu - \frac{\mu}{T}\nu^\mu) = -\frac{1}{T}\partial_\mu u_\nu \pi^{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)\nu^\mu + \frac{\mu_A}{T}\partial_\mu (nu^\mu) + \frac{E\cdot\nu}{T} - \frac{\mu}{T}CE\cdot B. \tag{20}$$

We should modify the definition of the entropy current and the positivity of entropy production will allow us to fix the transport coefficients. The parity even sector has been investigated in [10, 11]. In particular, this criterion can significantly reduce the number of nonvanishing transport coefficients. We are interested in the parity odd sector. Remarkably, in case of normal hydrodynamics, Son and Surówka showed the positivity (rather vanishing for the parity odd sector) allows them to almost completely fix the transport coefficients. As we will see soon, this is also true for superfluid hydrodynamics.

We start by writing down the most general form of the entropy current, up to first order in gradient:

$$\begin{aligned} s^\mu = su^\mu - \frac{\mu}{T}\nu^\mu + s_\omega\omega^\mu + s_B B^\mu + s_\Omega\Omega^\mu + s_K K^\mu + s_U U^\mu + s_V V^\mu + s_L L^\mu \\ + (s_{n\omega}\xi\cdot\omega + s_{nB}\xi\cdot B)l^\mu + (s_{u\omega}\xi\cdot\omega + s_{uB}\xi\cdot B)u^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The corresponding entropy production is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu s^\mu = -\frac{1}{T}\partial_\mu u_\nu \pi^{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu\left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right)\nu^\mu + \frac{\mu_A}{T}\partial_\mu(nu^\mu)\zeta + \frac{E\cdot\nu}{T} - \frac{\mu}{T}CE\cdot B + \partial_\mu(s_\omega\omega^\mu + s_B B^\mu + \\ s_\Omega\Omega^\mu + s_K K^\mu + s_U U^\mu + s_V V^\mu + s_L L^\mu + (s_{n\omega}\xi\cdot\omega + s_{nB}\xi\cdot B)l^\mu + (s_{u\omega}\xi\cdot\omega + s_{uB}\xi\cdot B)u^\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We first note that all terms in the expansion of (22) are parity odd, second order in gradient. Most of them can be decomposed on a basis in the form: pseudoscalar·scalar, pseudovector·vector, and pseudotensor·tensor, with the independent structures listed in the previous section. However, the divergences of the last four terms of the entropy current contain new structures with double derivatives: $l^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu\omega_\nu$, $l^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu B_\nu$, $u^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu\omega_\nu$ and $u^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu B_\nu$. Only three of the four are independent of each other. The last two are related by ideal hydrodynamical equations. We start with the following identity from ideal hydrodynamical equations:

$$\partial_\mu((\epsilon+p)u^\mu u_\nu) + \partial_\nu p + f^2\partial_\nu X - \xi_\nu\partial_\mu(nu^\mu) = nE_\nu, \tag{23}$$

where $w = \epsilon + p$ is the enthalpy. Applying $\epsilon^{\nu\lambda\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha u_\beta\partial_\lambda$ to the above, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\xi\cdot\omega\partial_\mu(wu^\mu) - 2\Omega^\mu\partial_\mu w + 2w(\xi\cdot\omega\theta - \omega^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu) + w\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_\mu u_\nu u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha u_\beta + \xi\cdot B\partial_\mu(nu^\mu) \\ - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu f^2 u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta X - K^\mu\partial_\mu n + n\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_\mu u_\nu u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha A_\beta - n(B^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - \xi\cdot B\theta) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

In addition, $s_\Omega\partial_\mu\Omega^\mu$ and $s_K\partial_\mu K^\mu$ also contain the following contributions to the structure $u^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu\omega_\nu$ and $u^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu B_\nu$:

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2}s_\Omega\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_\mu u_\nu u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha u_\beta \\ &-s_K\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_\mu u_\nu u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha A_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The requirement of the vanishing entropy production on the three independent basis forces: $s_{n\omega} = s_{nB} = 0$, while the other two entropy current coefficients are related by:

$$s_{u\omega} = 2ws_u + s_\Omega \quad s_{uB} = ns_u + s_K. \tag{25}$$

We can then proceed to decompose all the terms on the basis given by pseudotensor ·tensor, pseudoscalar·scalar and pseudovector·vector. In achieving this goal, we will need the following identities:

$$\partial_\mu u_\nu \pi^{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu}\pi^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\partial \cdot u}{3}\pi^\theta_\theta \tag{26}$$

$$\partial_\mu \left(\frac{\mu}{T}\right) = \frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial p}\partial_\mu p + \frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial \mu}\partial_\mu \mu + \frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial X}\partial_\mu X. \tag{27}$$

It is easy to obtain from $dp = sdT + nd\mu - f^2 dX$ that

$$\frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial p} = -\frac{\mu}{sT^2} \quad \frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{w}{sT^2} \quad \frac{\partial(\mu/T)}{\partial X} = -\frac{\mu}{sT^2}f^2. \tag{28}$$

The last term $\partial_\mu(s_O O^\mu)(O = \omega, B, \Omega, K, U, V, L)$ generates $O^\mu \partial_\mu(s_O)$ and $s_O \partial_\mu O^\mu$. The former can be brought into the form vector·pseudovector via

$$\partial_\mu(s_O) = \frac{\partial s_O}{\partial p}\partial_\mu p + \frac{\partial s_O}{\partial \mu}\partial_\mu \mu + \frac{\partial s_O}{\partial X}\partial_\mu X, \tag{29}$$

while the latter needs some extra work. By ideal hydrodynamical equations, $\partial_\mu O^\mu$ can be expressed as a linear combination of pseudoscalar·scalar, pseudovector·vector and pseudotensor ·tensor. The only exceptions are $\partial_\mu \Omega^\mu$ and $\partial_\mu K^\mu$, which contain terms with double derivatives and are used to fix the entropy current coefficients above. We listed the final results below and move the details to the appendix.

$$\partial_\mu \omega^\mu = \frac{2}{w}(nE\cdot\omega - \omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu X + \xi\cdot\omega\zeta) \tag{30}$$

$$\partial_\mu B^\mu = \frac{1}{w}(nE\cdot B - B^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2 B^\mu\partial_\mu X + \xi\cdot B\zeta) - 2E\cdot\omega \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu \Omega^\mu = {} & -\theta\xi\cdot\omega - \frac{1}{2w}(-B^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2 B^\mu\partial_\mu X + nE\cdot B + \xi\cdot B\zeta) - \frac{\mu}{w}(nE\cdot\omega + \xi\cdot\omega\zeta \\ & - \omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu X) + \omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_\mu u_\nu u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha u_\beta\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu K^\mu = {} & -\theta\xi\cdot B + 2\mu E\cdot\omega + \frac{1}{w}(-K^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2 K^\mu\partial_\mu X) + \frac{3}{2}E\cdot B - B^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \\ & - \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\xi_\mu u_\nu u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\alpha A_\beta\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\partial_\mu U^\mu = \frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\partial_\mu p - 2f^2 U^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \mu\omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - \xi\cdot\omega u^\nu\partial_\nu p - \frac{1}{2}B^\mu\partial_\mu p \tag{34}$$

$$\partial_\mu V^\mu = \frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\partial_\mu\mu + 2U^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - 2f^2 V^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \mu\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - \xi\cdot\omega u^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - \frac{1}{2}B^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\mu L^\mu = {} & \frac{1}{w}(\frac{nK^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu}{2} - L^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2 L^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \mu\omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - \frac{\xi\cdot\omega}{w}(nE\cdot\xi - l^\mu\partial_\mu p \\ & - f^2 l^\mu\partial_\mu X + l^2\zeta) - \frac{1}{2}B^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\xi^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi^\alpha\partial_\beta u_\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Note in the above, $u^\mu\partial_\mu p$, $u^\mu\partial_\mu\mu$ are not listed as independent scalars in the previous section. They can be expressed as:

$$u^\mu\partial_\mu\mu = b_s(-\theta s) + b_n(\zeta - n\theta) + b_X u^\mu\partial_\mu X \tag{37}$$

$$u^\mu\partial_\mu p = c_s(-\theta s) + c_n(\zeta - n\theta) + c_X u^\mu\partial_\mu X, \tag{38}$$

where $b_s = \left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial s}\right)_{n,X}$, $b_n = \left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial n}\right)_{s,X}$, $b_X = \left(\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial X}\right)_{s,n}$ and $c_s = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial s}\right)_{n,X}$, $c_n = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial n}\right)_{s,X}$, $c_X = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial X}\right)_{s,n}$.

Let us consider the basis of the form pseudotensor·tensor first. The only basis get popularized are $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ and $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar\lambda^{\mu\nu}$. The former receives the contribution from $T_\sigma\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar\sigma^{\mu\nu}$, which vanished identically thus does not constrain T_σ[32]. On the other hand, the latter receives contributions from $T_\lambda\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar\lambda^{\mu\nu}$ and $s_L\partial_\mu L^\mu$. The last term of $\partial_\mu L^\mu$ as shown in (36) should be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\xi^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi^\alpha\partial_\beta u_\lambda = {} & -\frac{1}{2}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar\lambda^{\mu\nu} + \xi\cdot\omega(\frac{\zeta + l^\mu\partial_\mu f^2 + \mu u^\mu\partial_\mu f^2}{f^2} + u^\mu\partial_\mu\mu + \\ & \frac{nE\cdot\xi - l^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2 l^\mu\partial_\mu X + l^2\zeta}{w}) - \frac{1}{3}\xi\cdot B\theta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu B^\nu + \omega^\mu(\partial_\mu X + \mu(\partial_\mu\mu + \xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu))\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The vanishing of the component of entropy production on the basis $\sigma_{\mu\nu}\bar{\lambda}^{\mu\nu}$ gives:

$$T_\lambda = -\frac{T}{4}s_L. \tag{40}$$

Now we are ready to move to the basis of the form pseudoscalar·scalar and pseudovector ·vector. There is a last subtlety that not all pseudovector·vector are linearly independent. Some of them can be expressed as a linear combination of others and possibly also pseudoscalar ·scalar . We work out the relevant relations in the appendix. The complete basis set we will contains 2×7 pseudoscalar· scalar and 21 pseudovector·vector. The latter is listed as follows:

$$\begin{gathered}\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu B^\nu,\ \omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu,\ B^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu,\ K^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu,\ \omega^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu p,\ B^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu p,\ K^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu p,\ L^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu p,\\ \omega^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu\mu,\ B^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu\mu,\ K^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu\mu,\ L^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu\mu,\ U^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu\mu,\ \omega^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu X,\ B^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu X,\ K^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu X,\ L^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu X,\\ U^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu X,\ V^\mu l^\nu\partial_\mu X,\ E\cdot\omega,\ E\cdot B.\end{gathered} \tag{41}$$

Plugging (36) to (22) and using (64) -(90) to organize all terms into the above basis, we obtain a set of 35 coupled equations upon demanding the non-negativity of the entropy production(Clearly all coefficients in the expansion on the basis have to vanish). We will not spell out the full equations, but only comment on one property of the equations. They are all linear in the unknowns, algebraic for the transport coefficients and first order PDE for the entropy coefficients $s_O(O = \omega, B, \Omega, K, U, V, L, u)$. This property will be crucial in solving the equations.

5 Solving the coupled equations

As is noted in the previous section that the coupled equations are first order PDE for the entropy coefficients, but are only algebraic for the transport coefficients. We can simplify the problem by solving the transport coefficients in terms of the entropy coefficients. This step is relatively straightforward. We end up with the following results:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_L = 0, \quad \Pi_B = 0, \quad \Pi_U = 0 \\
& \frac{l^2}{2}\Pi_L = \Pi_\omega, \quad \mu V_L = \frac{sT}{w}\Pi_\Omega \\
& \Pi_K = \frac{\Pi_V}{2}, \quad \Pi_\Omega = \mu \Pi_V \\
& \frac{\mu V_\omega}{sT^2} - \frac{l^2\Pi_\Omega}{2Tw} = -\frac{\mu}{sT}\left(2\mu s_K - 2s_B + 2sTs_u + \frac{2ns_\omega}{w} + (1 - \frac{2n\mu}{w})s_\Omega\right) \\
& V_B = -T\left(-\frac{C\mu}{T} + (2 - \frac{3n\mu}{2w})s_K + \frac{ns_B}{w} + \frac{nsT}{w}s_u - \frac{ns_\Omega}{2w}\right) \\
& \frac{T(nb_n + sb_s)}{w(b_sc_n - b_nc_s)}s_u + \frac{ns_U}{2w} + \frac{\mu V_K}{sT^2} + \frac{V_U}{2T} - \frac{V_\Omega}{2sT^2} + \partial_p s_K - \frac{n}{2w}\partial_p s_\Omega = 0 \\
& \left(\frac{-2Tc_n + 2\mu c_s}{w(b_sc_n - b_nc_s)}\right)s_u + \frac{s_V}{w} - \frac{wV_U}{sT^2} - \frac{\mu V_V}{sT^2} + \frac{V_\Omega}{sT^2} - \partial_p s_V + \partial_\mu s_U - \frac{1}{w}\partial_\mu s_\Omega = 0 \\
& \frac{\mu V_U}{sT^2} + \left(\frac{2\mu(1 + \frac{c_x}{f^2})(nb_n + sb_s) - \frac{2\mu c_X}{f^2}(nb_n + sb_s)}{ws(b_sc_n - b_nc_s)}\right)s_u + \left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \frac{1}{w}\right)s_U \\
& + \left(\frac{1}{w}\partial_p - \frac{1}{wf^2}\partial_X\right)s_\Omega = 0 \\
& P_B = -nT\left(1 + (nc_n + sc_s)\partial_p + (nb_n + sb_s)\partial_\mu\right)s_u - T\left((nc_n + sc_s)\partial_p + (nb_n + sb_s)\partial_\mu\right)s_K \\
& - \frac{w\mu(nb_n + sb_s)}{sT}\Lambda_B \\
& N_B = \frac{w}{sT}\Lambda_B \\
& \Lambda_B = -\frac{sT^2}{w(1 + \mu b_X)}\left((\partial_X + c_X\partial_p + b_X\partial_\mu)s_K + n(\partial_X + c_X\partial_p + b_X\partial_\mu)s_u\right) \\
& A_B = -T\Bigg[-\frac{3\mu s_K}{2w} + \frac{s_B}{w} - \frac{n\mu}{w}s_u - \frac{s_\Omega}{2w} + \frac{\mu}{sT^2}(l^2 + wb_n)\Lambda_B + \left(c_n\partial_p + b_n\partial_\mu\right)s_K \\
& + n\left(c_n\partial_p + b_n\partial_\mu\right)s_u\Bigg] \\
& \Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V = -\frac{sT^2}{w(1 + \mu b_X)}\Bigg[2w\left(\partial_X + c_X\partial_p + b_X\partial_\mu\right)s_u + \left(\partial_X + c_X\partial_p + b_X\partial_\mu\right)s_\Omega \\
& - c_Xs_U - b_Xs_V\Bigg] \\
& N_\omega + \frac{\Pi_L}{2} = \frac{w}{sT}\left(\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V\right) \\
& P_\omega = -2wTs_u + T(nc_n + sc_s)s_U + T(nb_n + sb_s)s_V - \frac{w\mu}{sT}(nb_n + sb_s)\left(\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V\right) \\
& - 2wT\left((nc_n + sc_s)\partial_p + (nb_n + sb_s)\partial_\mu\right)s_u - T\left((nc_n + sc_s)\partial_p + (nb_n + sb_s)\partial_\mu\right)s_\Omega \\
& A_\omega = -T\Bigg[-2\mu s_u - c_ns_U - b_ns_V + \frac{2s_\omega}{w} - \frac{2\mu s_\Omega}{2} + \frac{\mu}{sT^2}(l^2 + wb_n)(\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V) \\
& + 2w(c_n\partial_p + b_n\partial_\mu)s_u + (c_n\partial_p + b_n\partial_\mu)s_\Omega\Bigg]
\end{aligned}
\tag{42}
$$

We find the transport coefficients are not fully determined by (42) in terms of entropy coefficients $s_K, s_B, s_\omega, s_U, s_V, s_u$. In particular, we can choose Π_V, Π_ω, and V_Ω as the free inputs, in addition to T_σ found in the previous section.

The entropy coefficients, independent of (42), satisfy the following equation:

$$s_U = -\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p\right) s_\omega \tag{43a}$$

$$s_U = -2\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p\right) s_\omega \tag{43b}$$

$$s_\Omega - \mu s_V = \left(\frac{2}{\mu} - \frac{w}{\mu f^2}\partial_X - \partial_\mu\right) s_\omega \tag{43c}$$

$$s_\Omega - \mu s_V = -\mu s_K + 2\left(1 - \frac{w}{f^2}\partial_X - \mu\partial_\mu\right) s_B \tag{43d}$$

$$s_\Omega = \left(\frac{2}{\mu} - \frac{sT}{\mu f^2}\partial_X\right) s_\omega + 2\mu s_K - 2s_B \tag{43e}$$

$$s_\Omega = -\frac{2C\mu^2}{T} + \left(2 - \frac{2sT}{f^2}\partial_X\right) s_B + \mu s_K \tag{43f}$$

$$-\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p\right) s_K + \frac{1}{2\mu}\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p\right) s_\Omega + \frac{1}{2\mu}\left(1 - \frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X\right) s_U = 0 \tag{43g}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{-2Tsc_n + 2\mu sc_s - 2\mu(nc_n + sc_s) + 2w(nb_n + sb_s)}{ws(b_s c_n - b_n c_s)} + \left(\partial_\mu - \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{w}{\mu f^2}\partial_X\right) s_U \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p\right) s_V - \left(\frac{1}{\mu f^2}\partial_X - \frac{1}{\mu}\partial_p\right) s_\Omega = 0\end{aligned} \tag{43h}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{wT(nb_n + sb_s) + \mu T(nc_n + sc_s) + sT(-Tc_n + \mu c_s)}{w(b_s c_n - b_n c_s)} + \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{sT}{2}\partial_\mu\right) s_U + \left(w\partial_p + \mu\partial_\mu\right) s_K \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{sT}{2}\partial_p\right) s_V - \left(\frac{n}{2}\partial_p + \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\right) s_\Omega = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{43i}$$

There are seven unknown functions to be solved for from the nine equations. It is convenient to eliminate s_u from (43h) and (43i) to obtain:

$$-2\left(\frac{w}{f^2}\partial_X + \mu\partial_\mu\right) s_K + \left(\frac{n}{f^2}\partial_X + \partial_\mu\right) s_\Omega - \left(1 - \frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X\right) s_V = 0. \tag{44}$$

This is an over-determined problem. In order to proceed, we first take a moment to prove a commutation relation between the following differential operators $\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p$, $\frac{w}{f^2}\partial_X + \mu\partial_\mu$ and $1 - \frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X$. Bear in mind that we have chosen p, μ, X as the independent thermodynamical variables. w, s, T, f^2 contain implicit dependences on them through the equation of state. The dependences are subject to the Maxwell relations. Starting from $dT = \frac{dp - nd\mu + f^2 dX}{s}$, we have

$$-\partial_p\left(\frac{n}{s}\right) = \partial_\mu\left(\frac{1}{s}\right), \quad -\partial_X\left(\frac{n}{s}\right) = \partial_\mu\left(\frac{f^2}{s}\right), \quad \partial_X\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) = \partial_p\left(\frac{f^2}{s}\right). \tag{45}$$

Using the above relations, it is a short exercise to show the operators $\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p$, $\frac{w}{f^2}\partial_X+\mu\partial_\mu$ and $1-\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X$ commute with each other.

To solve the equations (43a)-(44), we apply $\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p$ to (43c)-(43d) to obtain:

$$\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p\right)\left(\frac{2}{\mu}-\frac{sT}{\mu f^2}\partial_X\right)s_\omega-2\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p\right)\left(2-\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X\right)s_B+\frac{2C\mu^2}{T}=0. \tag{46}$$

Using (43a), (43b) and noting the commutation relation of the operators, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p\right)s_K=0. \tag{47}$$

Note that $\frac{\partial T}{\partial X}=f^2\frac{\partial T}{\partial p}$, we conclude that the solution is of the form $s_K=s_K(T,\mu)$. Plugging (43d) and (43f) into (44), we obtain:

$$(1+\mu\partial_\mu+\frac{\mu n}{f^2}\partial_X)s_K=0. \tag{48}$$

With the specific form $s_K=s_K(T,\mu)$, we can solve the above by:

$$s_K=\frac{f(T)}{\mu}. \tag{49}$$

The singular behavior of s_K as $\mu\to 0$ forces s_K to be zero. To proceed further, we note from (43a) and (43b) that $-\frac{s_\omega}{\mu}+2s_B$ is also annihilated by $\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p$. Therefore, it can be parametrized as:

$$-\frac{s_\omega}{\mu}+2s_B=-s_f(T,\mu). \tag{50}$$

Next, we plug (50) to (43c)-(43d) and (43e)-(43f), the resultant PDEs give the following unique solution:

$$s_f=\frac{2C\mu^2}{3T}. \tag{51}$$

It can be verified that the rest of the equations are identically satisfied. We list our results on the coefficients of entropy current in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_K&=0\\
s_\omega&=2\mu s_B-\frac{2C\mu^3}{3T}\\
s_U&=-2\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X-\partial_p\right)s_B\\
s_V&=-\frac{2C\mu}{T}+2\left(\frac{n}{f^2}\partial_X+\partial_\mu\right)s_B\\
s_\Omega&=-\frac{2C\mu^2}{T}+2\left(1-\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X\right)s_B\\
s_u&=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Plugging (52) back to (42), we obtain the following nonvanishing non-entropy coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&P_B = N_B = \Lambda_B = 0 \\
&\frac{l^2}{2}\Pi_L = \Pi_\omega, \quad V_L = \frac{sT}{w}\Pi_V, \quad \Pi_K = \frac{\Pi_V}{2}, \quad \Pi_\Omega = \mu\Pi_V \\
&A_B = -\frac{C\mu^2}{w} - \frac{sT^2}{wf^2}\partial_X s_B \\
&\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V = -\frac{2sT^2}{w(1+\mu b_X)}\Bigg[\left(\frac{f^2+c_X-nb_X}{sT} - (\partial_X + c_X\partial_p + b_X\partial_\mu)\right)\left(\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right) \\
&+ \frac{(f^2+c_X-\frac{w}{\mu}b_X)\mu^2}{sT^2}\Bigg] \\
&N_\omega + \frac{\Pi_L}{2} = \frac{w}{sT}\left(\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V\right) \\
&P_\omega = \left((nc_n + sc_s)(-\frac{2}{s} + 2T\partial_p) + (nb_n + sb_s)(\frac{2n}{s} + 2T\partial_\mu)\right)\left(\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right) - \frac{w\mu}{sT}(nb_n + sb_s) \\
&\times\left(\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V\right) - (nc_n + sc_s)\frac{2C\mu^2}{sT} + (nb_n + sb_s)\frac{2C\mu w}{sT} \\
&A_\omega = \left(\frac{2(nb_n - c_n)}{s} - \frac{4\mu T}{w} + 2T(c_n\partial_p + b_n\partial_\mu)\right)\left(\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right) - \frac{8C\mu^3}{3w} + (\frac{w}{\mu}b_n - c_n)\frac{2C\mu^2}{Ts} \\
&-\frac{\mu}{sT}(l^2 + wb_n)\left(\Lambda_\omega + \frac{sT}{2w}\Pi_V\right) \\
&V_\omega - \frac{l^2 sT}{2w\mu}\Pi_\omega = -2T(1 - \frac{2sT}{w})\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B + \frac{8C\mu^2 sT}{3w} - \frac{2C\mu^2}{3} \\
&V_B = \frac{sT^2}{w}\left(\frac{C\mu}{T} - \frac{n}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right) \\
&V_U = \frac{2nT}{w}\left(\frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \partial_p\right)\left(\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right) \\
&\mu V_K - \frac{V_\Omega}{2} = \frac{Cn\mu^2}{w} + \frac{nsT^2}{w}\left(\frac{1}{sT} - \frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X\right)\left(\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right) \\
&\mu V_V - V_\Omega = 2nT\left(\frac{1}{w} - \frac{1}{f^2}\partial_X - \frac{\mu}{w}\partial_\mu\right)\left(\frac{sT}{f^2}\partial_X s_B\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{53}
$$

Summarizing the results, we have determined, within the parity odd sector, the first order gradient corrections to the stress tensor, charge current and “Josephson” equation up to five arbitray functions. The five functions are chosen as s_B, Π_V, Π_ω, V_Ω and T_σ. In particular, the entropy current, as well as the “Josephson” equation is parametrized by the function s_B, and the rest enters the stress tensor and charge current. It is interesting to note that terms proportional to the magnetic field is absent in the correction to stress tensor, i.e. $P_B = N_B = \Lambda_B = 0$. The presence of arbitrary functions in first order corrections is in contrast to the case of normal hydrodynamics[14, 15], where the positivity of entropy production almost fully constrain the first order gradient corrections. We can also compare our results with [32](see also a more recent generalization in [33]). The authors of [32] studied the constraint of the positivity of entropy production in first order superfluid hydrodynamics, with both parity even and odd terms. A total of 6 arbitrary functions are needed to parametrize the full gradient correction. 2 of them appear in the entropy current. The authors claimed a nontrivial mixing between the parity odd and even sectors. While this is true in general, the nature of anomaly that is temperature and density independent(see for example [34]), seems to suggest its induced effect to be non-dissipative(care is needed in a precise definition of anomaly induced terms). More recently the vanishing of the entropy production in the parity odd sector in the context of normal hydrodynamics has been argued in [35] based on the principle of time reversal invariance. It will be interesting to see whether it is also true in the case of superfluid hydrodynamics.

6 Conclusion

We have extended the study of anomaly effect on the constitutive equations of normal hydrodynamics[14, 15] to superfluid hydrodynamics. We have enumerated all possible 24 parity odd, first order gradient corrections to the constitutive equations: 13 for the stress tensor, 9 for the charge current and 2 for the "Josephson" equation. We used the existence of entropy current with vanishing entropy production to determine the transport coefficients. We found all coefficients are uniquely determined up to 5 arbitrary functions, with the explicit expressions in (52) and (53). We also found that the stress tensor does not receive correction from terms proportional to the magnetic field.

It will be interesting to extend the present analysis to chiral superfluid[1], which is a $SU(N_f) \times SU(N_f) \to SU(N_f)$ superfluid. Rich phenomena associated with anomaly effect on low energy finite density QCD have been discovered over the past few years, see e.g.[37, 38, 39]. It is certainly desirable to formulate a superfluid hydrodynamical theory, which will be useful for the exploration for the dynamical aspects of low energy QCD.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Ammon, J. Erdmenger, A. Rebhan, D. T. Son, A. O'Bannon H. U. Yee and especially Ingo Kirsch for helpful discussions. We also thank I. Kirsch and M. Lublinsky for useful comments and Shiraz Minwalla for pointing out an error in the previous version of the paper. This work is supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

A Derivations of $\partial_\mu O^\mu$

In this appendix, we want to express the divergence $\partial_\mu O^\mu (O = \omega, B, \Omega, K, U, V, L)$ in terms of our basis set: pseudovector·vector and pseudoscalar·scalar, by using the ideal hydrodynamical equations.

In $3+1$ dimensions, it is convenient to use vector notations. We will work in the local rest frame(LRF) of the normal component, where $u^\mu = (1, 0)$. Note the condition $u^2 = -1$ gives $\partial_\mu u^0 = 0$, but $\partial_\mu \vec{u} \neq 0$. We start by solving the following ideal hydrodynamical equations:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = F^{\nu\lambda} j_\lambda \\ \partial_\mu j^\mu = 0 \\ \mu = -\xi \cdot u \\ \partial_\mu \xi_\nu - \partial_\nu \xi_\mu = -F_{\mu\nu} \end{cases} , \tag{54}$$

Expressed in the vector notation, (54) takes the following form:

$$\begin{cases} s\theta + \dot{s} = 0 \\ w\dot{\vec{u}} + \nabla p + f^2 \nabla X - \vec{\xi}\zeta = n\vec{E} \\ \zeta + f^2 \left(\dot{\xi}^0 + \nabla \cdot \vec{\xi} \right) + \partial_t f^2 \xi^0 + \nabla f^2 \cdot \vec{\xi} = 0 \\ \nabla \mu = \nabla \xi^0 - \overleftarrow{\xi} \nabla \overleftarrow{u} \\ \dot{\vec{\xi}} + \nabla \xi^0 = \vec{E} \\ \nabla \times \vec{\xi} = -\vec{B} \end{cases} , \tag{55}$$

where the first equation is the conservation of entropy, which follows from a combination of energy conservation and current conservation. The second and the third equations are momentum and current conservation respectively. The fourth equation is from the "Josephson" equation. The last two equations are vector form of the last equation of (54). $\dot{\vec{u}}$ is readily solved as $\dot{\vec{u}} = \frac{1}{w}(n\vec{E} - \nabla p - f^2 \nabla X + \vec{\xi}\zeta)$, which will be repeatedly used below.

In LRF, the gradient terms O^μ has the following simple expression:

$$\begin{aligned} &\vec{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \vec{u}, \quad \vec{B} = -\nabla \times \vec{\xi} \\ &\vec{\Omega} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\xi} \times \dot{\vec{u}}, \quad \vec{K} = -\vec{\xi} \times \vec{E} \\ &\vec{U} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\xi} \times \nabla p, \quad \vec{V} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\xi} \times \nabla \mu, \quad \vec{L} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\xi} \times \overleftarrow{\xi} \nabla \overleftarrow{u}, \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where the quantities with over left arrows $\overleftarrow{\xi}\nabla\overleftarrow{u}$ are to be contracted. The divergences of O^μ are worked out in the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_\mu\omega^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu u_\nu\partial_\alpha u_\beta = 2\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot\vec{\omega} \\
&= \frac{2}{w}(nE\cdot\omega - \omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu X + \xi\cdot\omega\zeta) && (57)\\
\partial_\mu B^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu u_\nu F_{\alpha\beta} = \dot{\vec{u}}\cdot\vec{B} - 2\vec{E}\cdot\vec{\omega} \\
&= \frac{1}{w}(nE\cdot B - B^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2B^\mu\partial_\mu X + \xi\cdot B\zeta) - 2E\cdot\omega && (58)\\
\partial_\mu\Omega^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\xi^\nu\partial^\alpha u^\beta + \frac{1}{2}P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\xi^\nu\partial^\alpha u^\beta + \frac{1}{2}P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\xi^\nu\partial_\mu\partial^\alpha u^\beta - \omega^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - \mu\partial_\mu\omega^\mu \\
&= -\theta\xi\cdot\omega + \xi^0\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot\vec{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot\vec{B} - \vec{\omega}\nabla\mu - \mu\partial\cdot\omega + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\xi_j\partial_t\partial_i u_k \\
&= -\theta\xi\cdot\omega - \frac{\mu}{2}\partial\cdot\omega + \vec{\omega}\cdot\overleftarrow{\xi}\nabla\overleftarrow{u} - \frac{1}{2}\partial\cdot B - \vec{E}\cdot\vec{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\xi_j\partial_t\partial_i u_k \\
&= -\theta\xi\cdot\omega - \frac{1}{2w}(-B^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2B^\mu\partial_\mu X + nE\cdot B + \xi\cdot B\zeta) - \frac{\mu}{w}(nE\cdot\omega + \xi\cdot\omega\zeta \\
&\quad - \omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu X) + \omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi_\alpha u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\mu u_\beta && (59)\\
\partial_\mu K^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\xi^\nu F^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}P^{\mu\sigma}\epsilon_{\sigma\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\xi^\nu F^{\alpha\beta} - \partial_\mu\mu B^\mu - \mu\partial_\mu B^\mu \\
&= -\theta\xi\cdot B + \xi^0\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot\vec{B} - \dot{\vec{u}}\cdot(\vec{\xi}\times\vec{E}) + \frac{3}{2}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B} - \vec{B}\cdot\nabla\mu - \mu\partial\cdot B + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\xi_j\partial_t\partial_i A_k \\
&= -\theta\xi\cdot B + 2\mu E\cdot\omega + \frac{1}{w}(-K^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2K^\mu\partial_\mu X) + \frac{3}{2}E\cdot B - B^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi_\alpha u^\rho\partial_\rho\partial_\mu A_\beta && (60)\\
\partial_\mu U^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta p + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\partial_\mu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta p \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot(\vec{\xi}\times\nabla p) - \mu\vec{\omega}\nabla p - \xi\cdot\omega\dot{p} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{B}\cdot\nabla p \\
&= \frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\partial_\mu p - 2f^2U^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \mu\omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - \xi\cdot\omega u^\nu\partial_\nu p - \frac{1}{2}B^\mu\partial_\mu p && (61)\\
\partial_\mu V^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta\mu + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\partial_\mu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot(\vec{\xi}\times\nabla\mu) - \mu\vec{\omega}\cdot\nabla\mu - \xi\cdot\omega\dot{\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{B}\cdot\nabla\mu \\
&= \frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\partial_\mu\mu + 2U^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - 2f^2V^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \mu\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - \xi\cdot\omega u^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - \frac{1}{2}B^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \\
\partial_\mu L^\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\xi^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta u_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\xi^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu u_\nu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta u_\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\xi^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}u_\nu\partial_\mu\xi_\alpha\partial_\beta u_\lambda \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_j\partial_i\xi_l\partial_k u_l + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\vec{u}}\cdot(\vec{\xi}\times\overleftarrow{\xi}\nabla\overleftarrow{u}) - \mu\vec{\omega}\overleftarrow{\xi}\nabla\overleftarrow{u} - \xi\cdot\omega\vec{\xi}\cdot\dot{\vec{u}} - \frac{1}{2}\vec{B}\cdot\overleftarrow{\xi}\nabla\overleftarrow{u} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_j\partial_i\xi_l\partial_k u_l + \frac{1}{w}(\frac{nK^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu}{2} - L^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2L^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \mu\omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu \\
&\quad - \frac{\xi\cdot\omega}{w}(nE\cdot\xi - l^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2l^\mu\partial_\mu X + l^2\zeta) - \frac{1}{2}B^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu. && (62)
\end{aligned}
$$

In (62) the first term is expressed as follows:

$$\epsilon_{ijk}\xi_j\partial_i\xi_l\partial_k u_l = \frac{1}{2}\sigma\cdot\bar{\lambda} + \xi\cdot\omega\left(\frac{\zeta+\mu\partial_t f^2\vec{\xi}\cdot\nabla f^2}{f^2} + \dot{f}^2 + \frac{nE\cdot\xi - \vec{\xi}\nabla p - f^2\vec{\xi}\nabla X + l^2\zeta}{w}\right) - \frac{1}{3}\xi\cdot B\theta + \vec{\omega}\cdot(\nabla X + \mu(\nabla\mu + \overleftarrow{\xi}\,\nabla\overleftarrow{u})) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu B^\nu \tag{63}$$

B Relations among pseudovector·vector

The redundant pseudovector·vector are related to those chosen in (41) and pseudoscalar·scalar as follows:

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu\omega = \omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - \xi\cdot\omega\frac{\theta}{3}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu\Omega^\nu = -\frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - 2L^\mu\partial_\mu p - 2f^2L^\mu\partial_\mu X) + \frac{\xi\cdot\omega}{2w}(nE\cdot\xi - l^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2l^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \frac{l^2}{2w}(nE\cdot\omega - \omega^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu X) \tag{64}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu K^\nu = K^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - E\cdot\xi\,\xi\cdot\omega + l^2E\cdot\omega \tag{65}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu U^\nu = -\frac{1}{2}(2L^\mu\partial_\mu p - \xi\cdot\omega l^\mu\partial_\mu p + l^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu p) \tag{66}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu V^\nu = -\frac{1}{2}(2L^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - \xi\cdot\omega l^\mu\partial_\mu\mu + l^2\omega^\mu\partial_\mu\mu) \tag{67}$$

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu L^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\xi\cdot\omega\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu l^\nu - \frac{l^2}{2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu\omega^\nu \tag{68}$$

$$\Omega^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu = -\frac{1}{w}(\frac{n}{2}K^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - L^\mu\partial_\mu p - f^2L^\mu\partial_\mu X) \tag{69}$$

$$U^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu = -L^\mu\partial_\mu p \tag{70}$$

$$V^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu = -L^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \tag{71}$$

$$L^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu = 0 \tag{72}$$

$$\Omega^\mu\partial_\mu p = -\frac{1}{w}(\frac{n}{2}K^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu - f^2U^\mu\partial_\mu X) \tag{73}$$

$$U^\mu\partial_\mu p = 0 \tag{74}$$

$$V^\mu\partial_\mu p = -U^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \tag{75}$$

$$V^\mu\partial_\mu\mu = 0 \tag{76}$$

$$\Omega^\mu\partial_\mu X = -\frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\partial_\mu X + 2U^\mu\partial_\mu X) \tag{77}$$

$$\Omega^\mu\partial_\mu\mu = -\frac{1}{2w}(nK^\mu\partial_\mu\mu + 2U^\mu\partial_\mu\mu - 2f^2V^\mu\partial_\mu X) \tag{78}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu\omega^\mu = \sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu B^\nu + \xi\cdot B\frac{\theta}{3} - B^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu \tag{79}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu B^\mu = 0 \tag{80}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu\Omega^\mu = -\frac{1}{2w}(nE_\mu - \partial_\mu p - f^2\partial_\mu X + \xi_\mu\zeta)(\xi\cdot B\xi^\mu - l^2B^\mu) \tag{81}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu K^\mu = E\cdot\xi\xi\cdot B - l^2E\cdot B \tag{82}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu U^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}l^\mu\partial_\mu p\xi\cdot B + \frac{1}{2}l^2B^\mu\partial_\mu p \tag{83}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu V^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}l^\mu\partial_\mu\mu\xi\cdot B + \frac{1}{2}l^2B^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \tag{84}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu L^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}l^2F_{\mu\nu}\xi^\nu\omega^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\xi\cdot B\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu l^\nu + \frac{1}{2}l^2\sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu B^\nu \tag{85}$$

$$E\cdot\Omega = -\frac{1}{2w}(K^\mu\partial_\mu p + f^2K^\mu\partial_\mu X) \tag{86}$$

$$E\cdot K = 0 \tag{87}$$

$$E\cdot U = \frac{1}{2}K^\mu\partial_\mu p \tag{88}$$

$$E\cdot V = \frac{1}{2}K^\mu\partial_\mu\mu \tag{89}$$

$$E\cdot L = \frac{1}{2}K^\mu\xi^\nu\partial_\mu u_\nu. \tag{90}$$

Note that the scalar $l^\mu\partial_\mu\mu$ in (66) is not listed as a independent scalar. By ideal hydrodynamical equations. it can be expressed as:

$$l^\mu\partial_\mu\mu = u^\mu\partial_\mu X - \mu u^\mu\partial_\mu\mu + E\cdot\xi\frac{sT}{w} - \frac{\mu l^2}{w}\zeta + \frac{\mu}{w}(l^\mu\partial_\mu p + f^2l^\mu\partial_\mu X) - \sigma_{\mu\nu}l^\mu l^\nu - \frac{l^2}{3}\theta. \tag{91}$$

References

[1] D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 3771 [arXiv:hep-ph/9912267].

[2] S. Zhang, Phys. Lett. A **307** (2003) 93 [arXiv:hep-ph/0206234].

[3] C. Pujol and D. Davesne, Phys. Rev. C **67** (2003) 014901 [arXiv:hep-ph/0204355].

[4] H. Verschelde and V. I. Zakharov, arXiv:1012.4821 [].

[5] P. B. Arnold, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yaffe, JHEP **0011** (2000) 001 [arXiv:hep-ph/0010177].
P. B. Arnold, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yaffe, JHEP **0305** (2003) 051 [arXiv:hep-ph/0302165].

[6] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0209** (2002) 043 [arXiv:hep-th/0205052].

[7] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, JHEP **0603** (2006) 052 [arXiv:hep-th/0601157].

[8] A. Buchel, Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 286 [arXiv:0708.3459 []].

[9] D. Kharzeev and K. Tuchin, JHEP **0809** (2008) 093 [arXiv:0705.4280 []]. F. Karsch, D. Kharzeev and K. Tuchin, Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 217 [arXiv:0711.0914 []].

[10] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya and S. Minwalla, arXiv:1101.3332 [].

[11] C. P. Herzog, N. Lisker, P. Surowka and A. Yarom, arXiv:1101.3330 [].

[12] J. Erdmenger, M. Haack, M. Kaminski and A. Yarom, JHEP **0901** (2009) 055 [arXiv:0809.2488 []].

[13] N. Banerjee, J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Dutta, R. Loganayagam and P. Surowka, JHEP **1101** (2011) 094 [arXiv:0809.2596 []].

[14] D. T. Son and P. Surowka, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 191601 (2009) [arXiv:0906.5044 []].

[15] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, JHEP **1103**, 023 (2011) [arXiv:1011.5107 []].

[16] L. D. Landau, J. Phys. USSR **5**, 71 (1941); **11**, 91 (1947)

[17] N. N. Bogolyubov Jr, M. Yu. Kovalevskii, A. M. Kurbatov, S. V. Peletminskii, and A. N. Tarasov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **159** 585 (1989) [Sov. Phys. –Usp **32** 1041]

[18] Dzyaloshinskii I E and Volovick G E, Ann. Phys. **125** 67 (1980)

[19] M. Greiter, F. Wilczek and E. Witten, Mod. Phys. Lett. B **3** (1989) 903.

[20] A. Peletminskii, J. Phys. A: Math. Ther. **42**, 045501 (2009) [arXiv:0802.1991 []].

[21] B. Carter, In *A Random Walk in Relativity and Cosmology: essay in honour of P.C. Vaidaya and A.K. Raychaudhuri* (Wiley, New York, 1985).

[22] I. M. Khalatnikov and V. V. Lebedev, Phys. Lett. **91A**, 70 (1982);
V. V. Lebedev and I. M. Khalatnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **83**, 1601 (1982) [Sov. Phys. JETP **56**, 923 (1982)].

[23] B. Carter and I. M. Khalatnikov, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 4536 (1992).
B. Carter and I. M. Khalatnikov, Ann. Phys. (NY) **219**, 243 (1992).

[24] W. Israel, Phys. Lett. **86A**, 79 (1981);
W. Israel, Phys. Lett. **92A**, 77 (1982).

[25] D. T. Son, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16S1C** (2001) 1284 [arXiv:hep-ph/0011246].

[26] K. Landsteiner, E. Megias and F. Pena-Benitez, arXiv:1103.5006 [hep-ph].
I. Amado, K. Landsteiner and F. Pena-Benitez, arXiv:1102.4577 [hep-th].

[27] D. E. Kharzeev and D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106** (2011) 062301 [arXiv:1010.0038 []].

[28] D. E. Kharzeev and H. U. Yee, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 085007 [arXiv:1012.6026 []].
Y. Burnier, D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao and H. U. Yee, arXiv:1103.1307 [].

[29] T. Kalaydzhyan and I. Kirsch, arXiv:1102.4334 [].

[30] A. V. Sadofyev and M. V. Isachenkov, Phys. Lett. B **697** (2011) 404 [arXiv:1010.1550 []].

[31] S. Lin, to appear.

[32] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and A. Yarom, arXiv:1105.3733 [hep-th].

[33] Y. Neiman and Y. Oz, arXiv:1106.3576 [hep-th].

[34] Z. X. Qian, R. K. Su and P. K. N. Yu, Z. Phys. C **63** (1994) 651.

[35] D. E. Kharzeev and H. U. Yee, arXiv:1105.6360 [hep-th].

[36] M. Lublinsky and I. Zahed, Phys. Lett. B **684** (2010) 119 [arXiv:0910.1373 []].

[37] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 014021 [arXiv:0710.1084 []].

[38] G. Basar, G. V. Dunne and D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104** (2010) 232301 [arXiv:1003.3464 []].

[39] H. U. Yee and I. Zahed, arXiv:1103.6286 [hep-th].